| 1<br>2                                       | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)<br>United States Attorney  |
|--|---|
| 3<br>4                                       | BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)<br>Chief, Criminal Division  |
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10                  | KEVIN J. BARRY (CABN 229748) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Email: kevin.barry@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff   |
| 12<br>13<br>14                               | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |
| 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  v.  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE,  EXTENDING TIME LIMITS OF RULE  Defendant.  Defendant.  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28 | On August 10, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a bond hearing. At that time, the Court set the date for a preliminary hearing / arraignment for August 20, 2010. The parties respectfully request that the date for the preliminary hearing / arraignment be changed to September 14, 2010 and that the time limits provided by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) be extended through that date. Pursuant to Rule 5.1(d), the defendant consents to this extension of time, and the parties represents that good cause exists for this extension, including the effective preparation of counsel. |
|  | STIPULATION & [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER CHANGING HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME CR 10-mj-70643 MAG  |

The parties also request that time from the date of this order through September 14, 2010 1 2 be excluded from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represent that 3 granting the exclusion will allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of 4 counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice 5 served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the 6 defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 7 SO STIPULATED: 8 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 9 United States Attorney 10 DATED: August 19, 2010 KEVIN J. BARRY 11 Assistant United States Attorney 12 DATED: August 19, 2010 DOUGLAS L. RAPPAPORT 13 Attorney for AVERY BADENHOP 14 15 [PROPOSED] ORDER 16 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the extension of time limits applicable 17 under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) from the date of this order through September 18 14, 2010 is warranted; that exclusion of this period from the time limits applicable under 18 19 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance under Rule 5.1 20 outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal 21 case; and that the failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel for the 22 defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking 23 into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 24 U.S.C.  $\S 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)$ . 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED DATED:\_\_August 19, 2010 27 VADAS 28 Judge Nandor J. Vadas STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER CHANGING HEADY DIVING TIME NG DATE AND EXC

2